

APPLICANT(S): ORR, Michael et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/788,545  
FILED: February 21, 2001  
Page 8

#### **REMARKS**

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

#### **Status of Claims**

Claims 1-21 and 33 are pending in the application. Claims 1-21 and 33 have been rejected. Claim 10 has been amended.

Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claim add no new matter.

#### **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

##### **35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 10 has been amended to recite: "... the client agent unit is adapted to store real responses and to forward said stored real responses to the client upon receiving a re-load request for the stored real response from the client." for which the Examiner has alleged that there is no support in the specification.

Claim 10 has been amended to overcome the lack of support deficiency noted by the Examiner. Support for the amendment can be found, inter alia, in paragraphs 0034, 0035 of the specification and in figure 5.

APPLICANT(S): ORR, Michael et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/788,545  
FILED: February 21, 2001  
Page 9

It is respectfully asserted that the foregoing amendment merely addresses matters of form and does not change the literal scope of the claim in any way or result in any prosecution history estoppel.

Applicants respectfully assert that these amendments render claim 10 proper under 35 USC 112 and request that the rejections be withdrawn.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-21 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,978,841 to Berger (the '841 patent). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection in view of the remarks that follow.


The '841 patent discloses "The invention is directed to ...including ... a process, running on the computer, predicting anticipated user retrievals from the information system and retrieving one or more anticipated user retrieval requests **before they are requested by the user**". (Summary. Emphasis added). This citation is only a representative example. The feature "*retrieving one or more anticipated user retrieval requests **before they are requested by the user***" is repeated many times in the description of the invention and in the claims, and is presented as a goal of the invention. The '841 patent fails to teach or suggest and the Examiner does not suggest that it teaches or suggests all the limitations of independent claims 1 and 11. Inter alia, the '841 fails to disclose or suggest Accordingly, Applicants respectfully assert that claims 1 and 11 are allowable. Claims 2-10, 33 and 12-21 depend from, directly or indirectly, claims 1 and 11 respectively and therefore include all the limitations of those claims. Therefore, Applicants respectfully assert that claims 2-10, 33 and 12-21 are likewise allowable. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to amended independent claims 1 and 11 and to claims 2-10, 33 and 12-21 dependent thereon.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the pending claims are deemed to be allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

APPLICANT(S): ORR, Michael et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/788,545  
FILED: February 21, 2001  
Page 10

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Please charge any fees associated with this paper to deposit account No. 50-3355.

  
Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark S. Cohen  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 42,425

Dated: October 18, 2006

**Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP**  
1500 Broadway, 12th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
Tel: (646) 878-0800  
Fax: (646) 878-0801